## Executive Summary

## Gantahaz Lake 2006

A stocking assessment was conducted at Gantahaz Lake on September 29, 2006. This was the third assessment completed since the inception of stocking in 1976. The management goal for Gantahaz Lake is to maintain a high-yield fishery for eastern brook trout. Gantahaz Lake is an 40.1 ha lake and is situated 10.5 km north-west of Mackenzie. Two multi-mesh floating (RISC standard mesh sizes) gillnets (one floating and one sinking) were set at Gantahaz Lake in 2006. The total sampling effort was 40 hours, resulting in a low gillnet catch per unit effort (CPUE) of 0.98 fish per net-hour. The objectives of this assessment were to: 1) document the status of the fishery 2) document the presence (if any) of fertile eastern brook trout that may have residualized following stocking prior to 1997.

Based on the average fish size in this assessment, Gantahaz Lake is providing an average to slightly above average angling experience, as $81.6 \%$ of the fish sampled were between $300-400 \mathrm{~mm}$ length. The mean fish size was 331 mm and 475 g . Three of six age classes were missing from the catch, however, indicating potential year class failures for fish stocked in 2003, 2004 and 2006. Lack of fish in other year classes is a likely compromise of fishery quality in term of catch rates. Further assessment will be required to determine what happened to these missing fish.

Gantahaz Lake was also evaluated as part of ongoing assessments across the region that are evaluating the presence and relative population sizes of fertile diploid eastern brook trout that have residualized in some Omineca Lakes. Brook trout that were potentially fertile were found during this assessment, however, it is unclear at what level fertile brook trout are contributing to the fishery. Due to the lack of tributary streams in Gantahaz Lake, it is unlikely that diploid eastern brook trout in this lake represent a significant risk to native char populations within the Williston Watershed. Further assessment will, however, be required to establish the relative level of natural recruitment in this lake. Fifty-one percent of the catch (predominately age-2) were maturing males. It is unclear whether these fish are wild recruits or stocked hatchery fish. The next scheduled stocking is for spring 2007, therefore it is recommended that two cohorts of adipose fin marked, AF3N EB should be stocked and that the lake re-assessed in fall 2008 to better understand the the relative contribution of the hatchery program versus wild recruits to this fishery.


Figure 1. Representative catch of eastern brook trout from Gantahaz Lake showing a 45 cm measuring board for scale.

## OMINECA REGION

## LAKE STOCK ASSESSMENT REPORT

| LAKE NAME: Gantahaz | ALIAS: | Leech lake | BC WBID: | 00762PARA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE LOCATION: | Nearest center: | 10.5 km WNW Mackenzie | Drainage: | Peace |
|  | UTM: | $\underline{10.490386 .6137173}$ |  |  |
| LAKE ATTRIBUTES: | Surface Area: | 40.1 Ha | Elevation: | 722 m |
|  | Littoral Area: | 37.4 На | T.D.S.: | $\underline{81} \mathrm{ppm}$ |
|  | Max Depth: | $\underline{11.6} \mathrm{~m}$ | Mean depth: | 3.2 m |

## MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVE (mean length in gillnet (cm)):

| Objective 1 | Family Fishery (High CPUE $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Objective 2 | Average Quality $(30-40 \mathrm{~cm})$ | $\square$ |
| Objective 3 | Above Average $(40-50 \mathrm{~cm})$ | $\square$ |
| Objective 4 | Trophy $(20 \%>50 \mathrm{~cm}$ for RB, $20 \%>40 \mathrm{~cm}$ for EB) | $\square$ |

## MANAGEMENT/SURVEY HISTORY :

Previous gill net assessment(s): no $\square$ yes $\quad$ - PWFWCP; Lakes Files
Year(s) Surveyed: 1982; 1991
STOCKING DATA:
Current Stocking Rate
Stock Type
Species
Previous Stocking Rate

374 Fish/Ha Annually
AYLMER AF3N
EB mixed 200

SURVEY METHODS:

| Method |  | Date (yy.mm.dd) | Survey Agency | Crew |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fish | SGN | $2006-09-29$ | BCCF | Marcel Macullo, Dawn Cowie |
| Chem. | TDS; Profile | 1970 | Fish \& Wildlife Branch |  |
| Physical | Bathymetric | 1970 | Fish \& Wildlife Branch |  |
| Temp. | Profile | 1970 |  | Fish \& Wildlife Branch |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Netting Specs: | Net type: | Standard Experimental |  | Net length: |
|  | Setting: | Sinking and Floating (3x30m) |  | Panel Mesh: | SGN

SURVEY RESULTS:
Catch

|  | RB | EB | MW | LKC | LSU | PCC | NSC | CAS | BT | LT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 0 | 39 | 241 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: 1982 and 1993 data are from creel surveys.

| Survey Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Effort Hours | 40 | 4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| RB CPUE: | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | RB/Net Hour |
|  | 0.98 | 7.50 |  |  | EB/Net Hour |
|  | EB CPUE: | 0 | 1 |  |  |

## SURVEY CONCLUSIONS:

|  | Objectives Achieved |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Objective | Yes | No | Reason |
| 1. Family | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| 2. Average | $\square$ | $\square$ | Several missing year-classes and low net catch (CPUE) |
| 3. Above Average | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| 4. Trophy | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |

## RECOMMENDATIONS:

Assessment: Age 1, 3 and 4 year classes are missing. Net CPUE is low in consideration of stocking rate. Mark two years and reassess.

Management: Recommend follow up assessment in 2009 with marked fish to assess survival of AF3N and to determine the level of natural recruitment.

Comments: Missing year classes and high abundance of mountain whitefish.

Uncertainties: Three missing year classes. Difficult to assess growth with only one age class. Maturity assessments inconclusive due to poor recording by contractors (i.e. few comments recorded). There is a possibility of 2 N recruitment, considering the high incidence of maturing males in the catch.

## Recent Brood Request Comments:

Annual 15000; High priority for assessment.

## History of Angling Regulations

Electric motors only.

| Reported by: | Cory Williamson |
| :--- | :---: |
| Date: | Mar-05 |

Table 1. Eastern brook trout physical attributes for Casey Lake in 2006, 1991,1982 and 1993 creel survey.

| Sample Year Age |  | Sample |  | Length (mm) |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  |  | Condition (k) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Size | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev |
| 2006 | 1 | 1 | 167.0 |  |  |  | 49 |  |  |  | 1.05 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 2 | 36 | 331.1 | 275 | 385 | 28.1 | 451 | 205 | 690 | 117.2 | 1.22 | 0.83 | 1.48 | 0.1 |
| 1991 | 2 | 10 | 253.0 | 221 | 305 | 22.9 | 257 | 145 | 475 | 95.6 | 1.52 | 1.25 | 1.87 | 0.2 |
| 1991 | 3 | 11 | 312.5 | 263 | 357 | 25.2 | 569 | 315 | 880 | 173.4 | 1.81 | 1.56 | 2.21 | 0.2 |
| 1982 | 3 | 6 | 353.7 | 343 | 362 | 8.7 | 640 | 480 | 800 | 101.6 | 1.44 | 1.19 | 1.69 | 0.2 |
| 1993 | 3 | 5 | 331.0 | 290 | 360 | 27.0 | 425 | 312 | 539 | 89.7 | 1.16 | 1.03 | 1.28 | 0.1 |
| 1991 | 4 | 4 | 407.3 | 379 | 441 | 27.2 | 1125 | 950 | 1440 | 216.7 | 1.66 | 1.43 | 1.98 | 0.2 |
| 1982 | 4 | 2 | 393.5 | 387 | 400 | 9.2 | 1100 | 1000 | 1200 | 141.4 | 1.80 | 1.73 | 1.88 | 0.1 |
| 1993 | 4 | 5 | 369.0 | 365 | 370 | 2.2 | 578 | 482 | 652 | 73.8 | 1.15 | 0.95 | 1.29 | 0.1 |
| 2006 | 5 | 1 | 490.0 |  |  |  | 1770 |  |  |  | 1.50 |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 5 | 5 | 424.2 | 396 | 452 | 20.1 | 1269 | 1175 | 1435 | 120.7 | 1.67 | 1.55 | 1.93 | 0.2 |
| 1982 | 5 | 1 | 425.0 |  |  |  | 1000 |  |  |  | 1.30 |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 5 | 10 | 390.5 | 370 | 435 | 20.2 | 712 | 596 | 1134 | 157.7 | 1.19 | 0.97 | 1.40 | 0.1 |
| 1993 | 6 | 3 | 411.7 | 390 | 425 | 18.9 | 832 | 709 | 909 | 107.9 | 1.19 | 1.15 | 1.23 | 0.0 |

Table 2. Catch summary for 2006, 1991, 1992 and 1993.

| Sample Year | Sample Size | Length (mm) |  |  |  | Weight (g) |  |  |  | Condition (k) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev | Mean | Min | Max | StdDev |
| 2006 | 38 | 331 | 167 | 490 | 46.5 | 475 | 49 | 1770 | 252.6 | 1.22 | 0.83 | 1.50 | 0.13 |
| 1991 | 30 | 324 | 221 | 452 | 70.6 | 656 | 145 | 1440 | 416.1 | 1.67 | 1.25 | 2.21 | 0.22 |
| 1982 | 15 | 392 | 343 | 476 | 42.8 | 987 | 480 | 1850 | 406.7 | 1.55 | 1.19 | 1.88 | 0.19 |
| 1993 | 23 | 376 | 290 | 435 | 32.8 | 636 | 312 | 1134 | 180.0 | 1.17 | 0.95 | 1.40 | 0.12 |

Table 3. Proportion of catch in each management category listed by survey year.

| Survey Year | 2006 | 1991 | 1982 | 1993 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 250 mm | $2.6 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Between $250-300 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $13.2 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Between $300-400 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $81.6 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ |
| Greater than 400 mm | $2.6 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| Greater than 500 mm | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |



Figure 2. Length weight power relationship for Casey Lake eastern brook trout.


Figure 3. Length frequency distribution for Casey Lake brook trout. Age brackets apply to the most recent survey data only.


Table 4. Complete stocking History for Gantahaz Lake to 1976 to 2006.

| Release Date | Species Name | Fish Count | Stock | Mark | Average <br> Size (gm) | Life Cycle <br> Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8-Jun-06 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER AF3N |  | 6 | FINGERLING |
| 6-Jun-05 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER AF3N |  | 5.7 | FINGERLING |
| 2-Jun-04 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER AF3N |  | 7 | FINGERLING |
| 11-Jun-03 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER AF3N |  | 6.59 | FINGERLING |
| 21-Jun-02 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER AF3N |  | 11.04 | FINGERLING |
| 31-May-01 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER AF3N |  | 6.6 | FINGERLING |
| 3-Jun-00 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER AF3N |  | 4.78 | FINGERLING |
| 6-Jun-99 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER AF3N |  | 5.9 | FINGERLING |
| 3-Jun-98 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER 3N |  | 4.73 | FINGERLING |
| 13-Jun-97 | Brook Trout | 8000 | AYLMER |  | 3.33 | FINGERLING |
| 7-Jun-96 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 3.85 | FINGERLING |
| 27-May-95 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 3.79 | FINGERLING |
| 1-Jun-94 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 3.91 | FINGERLING |
| 29-May-93 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 3.42 | FINGERLING |
| 29-May-92 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 2.38 | FINGERLING |
| 5-Jun-91 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 3.12 | FINGERLING |
| 9-Jun-90 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 4.2 | FINGERLING |
| 2-Jun-89 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 2.5 | FRY |
| 1-Jun-88 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 2.7 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-Jun-87 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 1.9 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-May-86 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 1.4 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-May-85 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 2.4 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-Jun-84 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 3.9 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-May-83 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 2.4 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-Jun-82 | Brook Trout | 10000 | AYLMER |  | 3.5 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-May-81 | Brook Trout | 15000 | AYLMER |  | 2.6 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-Jun-80 | Brook Trout | 20000 | AYLMER |  | 3.4 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-Jan-79 | Brook Trout | 20000 | AYLMER |  | 3.2 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-Jan-78 | Brook Trout | 20000 | AYLMER |  | 3 | UNKNOWN |
| 1-Jan-76 | Brook Trout | 15000 | KTH |  | 1.6 | UNKNOWN |

Table 5. Dissolved oxygen, temperature and chemistry profiles.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16-Jun-70 } \\ & \text { Depth (m) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DO | Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 29-Sep-06 Depth (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ation UTI } \\ \text { DO mg/L } \end{gathered}$ | n/a <br> DO \%sat | Temp. ${ }^{\text { }}$ C | pH | Cond ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 |  | 17.8 | 0 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 12.44 |  |  |
| 3.4 |  | 16.7 | 1 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 12.43 |  |  |
| 4.0 |  | 16.1 | 2 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 12.43 |  |  |
| 4.6 |  | 15.0 | 3 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 12.43 |  |  |
| 5.2 |  | 14.4 | 4 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 12.43 |  |  |
| 5.5 |  | 13.9 | 5 | 7 | 0.8 | 12.45 |  |  |
| 5.8 |  | 12.8 | 6 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 12.44 |  |  |
| 6.1 |  | 12.2 | 7 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 12.34 |  |  |
| 6.7 |  | 11.7 | 8 | 7.4 | 0.8 | 12.26 |  |  |
| 7.3 |  | 11.1 | 9 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 12.27 |  | 89.0 |
| 7.9 |  | 8.9 | 10 | 6.9 | 0.7 | 12.28 |  | 90.0 |
| 8.2 |  | 8.3 | 11 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 12.29 |  | 94.0 |
| 8.8 |  | 7.8 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.1 |  | 7.8 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Stock Assessment Data for 2004 (see lake files for additional survey data).

| Lake | Sample\# | Site | Number | Species Caught | Age | Length (mm) | Weight (grams) | Condition (k) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calendar } \\ \text { Age } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | Age <br> Structure | Ageing Confidence (0-9) | Clip | Sex | Maturity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gantahaz | g11 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 318 | 475 | 1.5 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g12 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 376 | 690 | 1.3 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | $f$ | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g13 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 372 | 580 | 1.1 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | u | immature |
| Gantahaz | g14 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 385 | 640 | 1.1 | 2 | ot | 7 |  | f | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g15 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 353 | 580 | 1.3 | 2 | ot | 8 |  | m | immature |
| Gantahaz | g16 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 330 | 415 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 8 |  | m | immature |
| Gantahaz | g17 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 314 | 370 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | $f$ | immature |
| Gantahaz | g18 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 358 | 580 | 1.3 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g19 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 340 | 560 | 1.4 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | $f$ | immature |
| Gantahaz | g20 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 340 | 470 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | u | immature |
| Gantahaz | g21 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 335 | 460 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 8 |  | $f$ | immature |
| Gantahaz | g22 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 320 | 430 | 1.3 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | immature |
| Gantahaz | g23 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 365 | 570 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g24 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 366 | 590 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | immature |
| Gantahaz | g25 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 290 | 300 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | $f$ | immature |
| Gantahaz | g34 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 335 | 450 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | $f$ | immature |
| Gantahaz | g35 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 321 | 400 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | immature |
| Gantahaz | g36 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 306 | 330 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | u | immature |
| Gantahaz | g37 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 291 | 205 | 0.8 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | f | immature |
| Gantahaz | g38 | 1 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 307 | 320 | 1.1 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | immature |
| Gantahaz |  | 1 | 1 | eb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ns | not sampled |
| Gantahaz | g26 | 2 | 1 | eb | 5+ | 490 | 1770 | 1.5 | 5 | ot | 8 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g27 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 359 | 535 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g28 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 275 | 300 | 1.4 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g29 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 323 | 390 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g30 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 309 | 420 | 1.4 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g31 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 345 | 520 | 1.3 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g32 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 355 | 480 | 1.1 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | $f$ | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g33 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 318 | 350 | 1.1 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | u | immature |
| Gantahaz | g1 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 295 | 320 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g2 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 377 | 670 | 1.3 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g3 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 341 | 470 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | $f$ | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g4 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 332 | 430 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | $f$ | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g5 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 312 | 360 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | u | immature |
| Gantahaz | g6 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 335 | 450 | 1.2 | 2 | Ot | 8 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g7 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 330 | 490 | 1.4 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g8 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 305 | 350 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 9 |  | m | maturing |
| Gantahaz | g9 | 2 | 1 | eb | 2++ | 285 | 280 | 1.2 | 2 | ot | 8 |  | u | immature |
| Gantahaz | g10 | 2 | 1 | eb | 1++ | 167 | 49 | 1.1 | 1 | ot | 9 |  | f | immature |



Figure 4. Sex ratios (a) and maturity (b) of eastern brook trout captured in the 2006 Gantahaz Lake assessment.


Figure 5. Gonads of a maturing-male eastern brook trout from Gantahaz Lake in 2006.

